

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/565,520	RAKOCZI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	FRANK I. CHOI	1616	

All Participants:

(1) FRANK I. CHOI.

(2) Todd Sladek (voicemail).

Date of Interview: 19 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

59-95

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Applicant's representative in a voicemail response to a previous request for a restriction requirement elected Group I, claims 59-90, with Group II claims 91-95 being non-elected, without traverse.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:21 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)